Preserve

App F. IX-4

*Previous references*

Reg 363-28-14
" 6103-29-14

**1930.**

(Anuzzai)

**File No.** 306-30

**Serial Nos.** 1 to 6

*Later references*

## General and Revenue Secretariat.

*Serial Nos* 4.

**Par B for** August 32

SUBJECT

Appeal re payment of sale proceeds of Casserina plants on the lands of Sri Gopalakrishnaswami temple at Thiruvandra Krishna Rajapura ~~Hobli~~ Hobli

To

The Mess Comm
" Comptroller
" Archak Venkatappa

No. 235-37 of 2 8 32

[illegible] were ~~[illegible]~~ in need to change [illegible] body passed in the [illegible] and [illegible] that the land of the institution may be left [illegible] pay any [illegible] [illegible] Archak [illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Muz | File No. | of 1930

Serial No.

(1) Petition of 11/5/1931 from Venkatappa Reddy of Sri Gopala Krishna Swamie temple, Thanisandra, Krishna rajpura Hobli Bangalore Taluk stating that he derived no benefit from one of the Inam lands of the said temple for a period of 20 years on account of the Causarina plantation thereon and that during the period he has paid jodi on the said land and he has attended to Padithara and Deepanadhane of the temple without help from any of the devotees and requesting under the circumstances detailed therein the payment of amount due by the sale of Causarina plants as also part of the amount still payable on account

The Panchala Gutta arrangement that took effect from 1919-1920.

(1) Points urged by the petitioner are as follows:—

(1) That he has been regularly attending to the archanaship of the deity & has been keeping the temple in good condition.

(2) That on receipt of petition from the quarters held with the P.K.R. the amildar went to the spot ~~and~~ auctioned the Causarina trees and reached the realisations viz half of Rs 2150/— ie Rs 1075/- [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sh

Muz File No. of 1930-1 General and Revenue Secretariat

(3) That during the long period of 20 years of Causurina plantation he derived no benefit from the Inam land and yet he has paid jodi on the land and attended to Paditharu & Deeparadhana Expenses of the temple without help from any of the devotees.

(4) ~~That the amount of~~ ~~[illegible]~~ That the sums drawn and paid to him out of the Causurina realisations & of the Panchakal gutta be verified from the accounts maintained by the Compr & his representation treated as a special case

(5) That a day be fixed for giving him an opportunity to represent facts in person in cases where doubts may arise on perusal of records

2) The petition has been sufficiently stamped & this may be subd with refce to para 5 - Dep 175 of 1930-31 -

3) In view of the representation in para 5 of the P. M. D. it is forwards if records be called for; as the petitioner prays for a day of hearing be given -

Previous refces are placed in the file

G.S. 25/5 4) Kind attention is invited to Mr. Balasundaram Iyer's minute dy 7.11.30 in Muz. File No. 405-29. Anyhow it seems to be a hard case.

First Member) 5) VS 2.5.31 6) Records may be called for a note on his

Muz 28/5/31

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 306 of 193

Serial No.

7 Dp Called for in parab subd
Rs MSK
2/6/31

& Issue
VB
4.6.2

2 O. M. No 2625 dt 6.6.31 to the Muzrai Commissioner calling for submission of records connected with the case.

Sno 19/6/31

Petition dt 22-7-1931 from Archak Venkatappa of Sri Venugopala Swami Temple - Thanisandra. Krishnarajpura Hobli Bangalore Taluk

The petitioner complains that the Th authorities have been taking steps to collect from him a reserve fund of Rs 20/- p.a - The institution is stated to be a village one, and the yield from the Inam lands of the temple is of the value of Rs 15 to 16/- - After paying Jodi and meeting the cost of Padithara & Deeparadhane, heads there nothing remains over for maintenance. In this connection it is subd that attempts were made to find out papers if any directing the collection of a reserve fund of 20/- p.a. No papers on the subject are forthcoming.

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

File No. of 1930

General and Revenue Secretariat

If appd ~~this~~ a report will be called for.
As regards the other request
viz the payment to him of the proceeds
from the sale of cassur in a plantation,
records called for in this office letter
No 2625 of 6/6/31 are not yet
received

G.S. [signature] 28/7

ii) Opt. subd for cross
Fl. Secy) [signature] 30.7.31

[signature] 31/7

iii) Issue
[signature] 3.7.31

1653
3.7.31

No 170 of 3.8.31 to the Mazrai
Commissioner calling for records
connected with the appeal preferred
by Archak Venkatappa —
Letter No 1862/30-31 of 1.6.32
from the Mazrai Commissioner
furnishing records relating to the
appeal preferred by the archak
of Sri Gopalakrishna swami temple
at Thanisandra Bangalore Taluk
and stating that as directed by
the Deputy Commissioner a sum
of Rs 10/- per annum may be
collected from the petitioner towards
reserve fund of the Temple.

Sus A
19/8/31

from the sale of casurina plants on one of the belonging to Sri Gopalaswami temple at Thanisandra Krishnarajpur Hobli

General and Revenue Secretariat

Muz File No. 306 of 1930.

[App. F. III—2

Serial No.

15) The temple of Sri Gopalaswami Devaru at Thanisandra Krishnarajpur Hobli Bangalore TK is a village Muzrai Institution endowed with Inam land as detailed hereunder:—

| | S. No | Extent A. G | Assessment. | Quit rent |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Dry. — | 1 (Part) | 4.34 | 7-12-0 | |
| " — | 75 (Since changed to 79) | 3-28 | 4-8-0 | 1-8-0 |
| Wet — | 53 (Since changed to 56/2) | 1-21 | 7-0-0 | |
| | | A. G 10-3 | 19-4-0 | |

(Vide page 177 of the printed quit rent register [illegible] for reg^ce).

16) The facts of the case are ~~[illegible]~~ are as follows:—

All the above ~~said~~ Inam lands of the temple were in possession of the Archak till 29.10.1919, S. No 79 ~~being covered up~~ having a ~~with~~ Casurina plantation. The plants may be stated to be of the age of 15 years. From S. Nos 1 & 56/2, the archak was deriving annual benefit, ~~while~~ & he kept waiting perhaps for a lumpsum benefit from the plantation on the other S. No. While this was so, the Shanbhogue of the place presented a pet^n on 29.10.1910 ~~the~~ Amildar complaining that the temple was in disrepair & he prayed that the Casurina plants may be sold & the realisations ~~may~~ be used for the improvement of the temple building. On the same day

The Amildar took a Statement from the Shanbhogue purporting in addition, that ~~the~~ no archana was being done to the deity for the past six months. (vide Flag Y).

No Mahazar or a mutchalika from the Patel and other residents & devotees in the Village appears to have been taken either by a Rev Inspector or by the Amildar. No spot enquiry appears to have been held as clearly seen from records. Excepting a Statement from the Archak.

On a recommendation of the Amildar the D.C. on 22/4/20 ordered that the Casurina plants maybe sold & out of the realisation, the deity's share credited to the temple account & the lands of the temple ~~be~~ given away for Panchsal gutta. Accordingly steps were taken by the local authorities to sell the plants (and a sum of Rs. 2150 was realised. out of this) a sum of Rs 1075/- accrued in favour of the deity & was deposited in the Ty. The plantation was auctioned on 8/4/21 & the amildar on 15/1/25 reports that ~~[illegible]~~ felling in S.No. 79 is all over & is ready for being given away for gutta along with the other two S.Nos. That the trees should have been allowed for a period of nearly 4 yrs on the land from the date of auction sale is a source of loss to the Institn while it is one of gain to the auction purchaser.

x Non performance of Puja for some year has been admitted by the appellant & this has been on account of having lost his wife & children during plague. Flag S. his statement of 24/4/21.

Not necessary. Please see Archak's [illegible] before Amildar 24/[illegible] (post marked in blue pencil) Flag [illegible] 29/[illegible]

Serial No.

The same Shanbhogue who complained to the Amildar about the Archak has in his statement before that officer on 24/4/21 said that the archana has since been regular and the temple is in good repair & in good condition. As in spite of this the sum of Rs 1075/- was withheld from the archak, he went in appeal to the M.C against the orders of the D.C. Kind ref^ce is invited to para 2 of the D.C's report of 17-6-24 and to para 2 of the decision of the M.C - The question of paying the archak any remuneration for the period ending 19-20 was considered & decided to be unnecessary on the ground that the archak was in enjoyment of other Inam lands viz S. No 1 & 56/2. - In this connection it is respectfully subd that the question of remuneration does not arise, as the archak was in possession of all the Inam lands & he is entitled to the income from all the Inam lands, he being responsible only for the regular padithara & deeparadhane & the good condition of the temple building. Further, whether the fact of the archak being in possession of

Inu 3 File No 806 of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

the other Inam lands is ~~is no criterion~~ ~~does not seem to be~~ sufficient ground for keeping him out from the yield of the other Inam. land (~~Here~~ (viz. S. No 79 ~~covered~~ containing by the Casurina Plants) is a point for consideration & orders.

Later on during 29-30 the appellant again appeared before the M.C on the same subject - Before deciding the case he called for a report from the D.C. who in his report of 20/12/29 says that the archak in his statement before the Amildar on 20/4/21 ~~has~~ consented to take only Rs 100/- from out of the realisations of Casurina plantation and that this has estopped him from claiming more. On page 4 of the same statement the archak also prays that he may be paid the ~~Casurina~~ value of the casurina trees & agrees to effect all necessary repairs if so ordered. It may be subd that this latter point ~~is not~~ does not seem to have been considered while urging the former one ~~one~~ in the report. The M.C. however observes in his decision of 17.2-30 that he can not go behind an order passed by the previous M.C. ~~[Hence this is a fit case to receive kind decision here]~~!

E

x Q of the V. records

This part is marked in red pen 29/6/32

This is ~~a~~ one of ~~a~~ the several ~~decision of the~~ preliminary archak. The part in the concluding lines is what contains the final agreement come to. 29/6

Serial No.

Panch Sal gutta amount collected during the 5 yrs from 1920-21 at Rs 52/- p.a comes to 260 Rs and the sum paid to the archak during the period as per orders of the M.C at Rs 100/- p.a is Rs 500/; the difference of Rs 240/- has evidently been ~~having~~ met out of Rs 1075, the sale proceeds at the credit of the temple leaving a balance of Rs 835/- ~~is now claimed by the appellant & his grievance in the P.U.D appeal~~

P.U.D II ~~[illegible]~~ The next point urged by the appellant is about the reserve fund levied — The M.C. proposes a levy (18) of Rs 10/- p.a towards reserve fund calculated on the gutta of Rs 52/- p.a realised when the lands were given away for Panch Sal gutta, while in fact the yield from land formerly occupied by Casurin a plants has not been taken to account by him viz Rs 10 to Rs 15/- as reported by the Amildar on 15/1/25 — Thus the calculation should have been based on Rs 60/ to 65/- p.a. The appellant in P.U.D says that he is not ~~having~~ realising more than 20 Rs yield from the lands probably due to the ~~fall~~ falling off in ~~sales~~ prices. It is subd that he cannot altogether be exempted from payment of reserve fund, though this is a village muz. Institution

x F.

10) Muz appl 17 of 30-31

MMz File No 306 of 1936.

General and Revenue Secretariat

19) In para 6 of P. U. D I the appellant prays for ~~a chance of~~ being heard in person before the case is decided. ~~This may be~~ Subd with reference to the remarks in para 6. - page 2 of the Mins

G.S. Kett

16/6/17

In his statement before the Amildar D 24.4.21 (Flag Q) the Archak has, in unmistakable terms, not only agreed to receive Rs 100/- out of the sale amount for himself but has also agreed that the balance of amount may be utilised for temple repairs - Being literate, as he is, the Archak cannot complain that something was written & his ~~8th~~ signature taken.

The orders passed being in accordance with this 8th of the Archak he cannot possibly have any grievance in this respect now.

As regards reserve amount the recommendation of the Mc. contd in para 2 of his letter D $\frac{30.5.32}{6.6.32}$ to reduce it from Rs 20/- to Rs 10/- may be accepted.

Whether the petnr has to be sent for & heard is for orders.

[signature]
29/6/32

OFFICE OF THE FI...
15 JULY 1932
387

Mnz appl 17 of 30-31

General & Revenue Secretariat. | Muz. File No. 306 of 1930.

Serial No.

601/26.7

25. [illegible] to receive only a [illegible] of [illegible] 100/- [illegible] of the temple [illegible] trees and to the [illegible] the purposes of [illegible] cannot be allowed to [illegible] belongs to the temple [illegible] must have [illegible] fit of it.

26. This is a village [illegible] the annual income from its land [illegible] estimated at about [illegible] 50 or [illegible] 60/-. It is not necessary to lease out these lands [illegible] the Archak in cash. It is seen from the records that the lands were restored to him some years back. The petitioner may continue to have the enjoyment of the lands and be required to render in return the Archak services to the temple. The annual income from the lands being small, he need not be required to pay any reserve, if he keeps the temple building in a satisfactory condition. If the temple requires repairs, arrangements may be made to carry them out from the amount to its credit and if the Archak can be trusted to execute the work satisfactorily, it may be entrusted to him.

SECTION 28 JUL 1932 GENL & REV SECT.

Mnz 26/7/32

27/7

95 28/7 [illegible] opp [illegible]

[illegible] 28/7

26/7

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 2165—GPB—500—27-5-32

Muz appeal petn no 17 of 30-31

General and Revenue Secretariat Muz File No 306 of 1930. [App F. III—2

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| Sls 4-6 | Nos 235-237 of 2-8-32 to the Muzrie Commissioner, the Comptroller and the appeal petitioner respectively forwarding copy of orders passed on the appeal petition |

Don 17/8

B 18/8

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—50,000—15-8-31

File No of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

COURT FEE

ONE RUPEE

1572

4 [illegible]

5 [illegible]

6 [illegible]

[illegible] 11-6-31 [illegible]

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

2625

Mus 306-30-2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No .....

The accompanying Petition Registered No. 1512 dated 11/5/31 received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from one Venkatappa Archak of the Sri Gopalakrishna Swami Temple - Thani Sandra Krishnarajpur Hobli Bangalore Tk requesting that his representation be treated as a special case and ~~the amount~~ the sale proceeds of the Casuarina plantation therein mentioned be arranged to be paid to him for reasons set forth therein

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~ to the M. C. for sale mission of the entire records connected with the case, ~~with a detailed report on the~~ ~~points raised in the petn~~

By Order,

4.6.31

For Secretary. to Govt

BANGALORE,

1/6/ 1931

[P. T. O.

WD 02048 -GPB—3750—8-8-28

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ..... ..... ... .. ..... .... ...ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.... . . ... ... ..... . ..... ..... ...ಹೋಬಳಿ

.... .... ..... . ... ..... . .... . . ...ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

..... . ... ..... .... ..... ..... ... .. ..... ... .....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹಂಕುಂ.

..... .. .... ..... .. .. .... ... ..... .....

. .. ..... . ..... ..... .....

. ..... ..... ... ..... . ...  ....

.... . .. ..... ..... ..... ... ..... . ..... ...

.. ..... ..... ..... . ..... ... .....

..... ..... ..... ... .. ... ... ... .. ..... ... .. .....

..... . ... ... ... . . .... ..... ..... .....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ .....

ಮರ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು. . .... ..... . .....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

. .. . ..... ..... .... ..... .. ..... ..... ....

..... ..... ..... ....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT,

Bangalore, Dated 1st August 1931.

No 170 [illegible]

[illegible] one petn.

From

Rajasevaparayana

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR, Esq, B.A, B.L,

Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a petition dated, the 22nd July 1931, from one Venkatappa Archak Sri Venugopalaswami Temple, Thanisandra, Krishnarajapet Hobli, Bangalore Taluk ~~complain~~ complaining against the collection of Rs.20/- per annum towards reserve fund and to request you to be so good as to expedite the ~~submitte~~ submission of records called for in this Office letter No. 2625 dated 6th ~~July~~ June 1931 with a full report on the points raised in the 2 petitions.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V Subrahmanyasastri

For Secretary to Government,

Financial Department.

[illegible].R.2.
1/8.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 193 .

Date of issue 3/8/31

Signed by [illegible]

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section [illegible]
File No. 306-30
Serial No. 2-A
No. 170
Enc one petn.

To
The M.C.

Sri,

I am directed to forward herewith ~~for report~~ a petn of 22/7/31 from one Venkatappa Archak Sri Venugopalaswami Temple Thanisandra Krishnarajpura Hobli Bangalore Tk Complaining against the Collection of Rs 20/- p.a towards Reserve fund and to request you to be so good as to expedite the ~~forward~~ submission.

[over

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

A record called from this
Office letter no 26-25 of
6/6/31 with a full report
on the points raised in the 2 petns
Thanc Etc

30/1 31/2
For Sec to Govt
Fiwepr

GS
28/7

21-4-32

GENL. & REV. DEPT.
1240
22 APR. 1932

21/4/32

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 23-24 [illegible]

[illegible]

తా 2[illegible]-4-32 [illegible]

The Connected ~~[illegible]~~ records were called for on 6/6/31 & report with records called for in No 170/ Misc 306-30-2A d 3/8/31 has not been received.

Orders of the Member on the P. U. D
& early.

[illegible] 22/4

[illegible] 25/4/32

Issued No 1554 d 26/4/32

[illegible] 27/4

[illegible] ...IG SECTION 25. APR. 1932 GENL & REV SECT.

Inwards
1554
Muz

26th April 1932.

Dear Sir,

I am desired to state that the records called for in this office letters Nos. 2625 dated the 6th June 1931 and No. Muz. 170 dated the 1st August 1931 in respect of the appeal of one Archak Venkatappa of Thanisandra, Krishnarajapur Hobli, Bangalore Taluk, for payment to him of the value of casuarina plants grown on the Inam lands belonging to Sri Gopalakrishnaswami temple at the place, have not yet been received and to request to be informed when the same may be expected. The matter may kindly be treated as urgent as the reference is pending for a long time.

Yours sincerely,

S. Hiriannaiya, Esquire, M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

P.H.
GS

82

SUBJECT .....

Section Mag

File No. 308-30

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1554

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 26/4/32

Signed by ..... By Muddukrishna

~~The M.C~~

~~Subject: — Sub^n of records connected with~~ The Appeal of one Archak Venkatappa of Thanjavur Krishnaraj ~~Vajpet Tk~~ Pankhali for payment of the value of Casuarina plants grown on the Inam lands belonging to Sri Gopalakrishnaswami temple at the place.

List of enclosures .....

Dear Sir,

Copied by .....

I am desired to state that the Records called for in this Office letters nos 2625 of 6-6-31 & No. 170 of 1.8.31 in respect

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31

~~T 6/6/31 & May no 170 of 1/8/31~~

not yet been sent & received and to request to
have ~~remained unsolved and~~ informed when the same
may be expected.

to request you to kindly expedite the matter may kindly be treated as urgent as the reference is pending for the forwardal of the same. The favour of a reply as to when it may is requested

~~before the 10th May 1932~~

Yours Sincerely

25/4/32

G S
22/4

M. C. 13]

No. 1862/30-31

Encl. 1 file of Ver. records.
3 office files
2 copies of letter

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 30th May 1932.
6th June
1932

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
*Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.*

2/6/32

To

Rajasevaparayana

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
*Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*
Financial *Department.*

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 1436 4 JUN 1932 MUZRAI.

Muz: 306-30-3

SIR,

With reference to your memo No.2625/Muz.306-30-2 dated 6th June 1931 and letter No.170 Muz.306-30-2 dated 1/3rd August 1931 calling for the submission of the records connected with the representation of one Venkatappa (re sale proceeds of the casurina plantation) Archak of the Sri Gopalakrishnaswami temple at Thanisandra Bangalore Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire file of vernacular records received with the Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C3-4386/30-31 dated 22nd August 1931 (copy enclosed) and to state that final orders have been passed by Government and an endorsement No.1103 Muz.405-29-4 dated 13th November 1930 has been issued by the Secretary to Government Law Department on a similar representation of the petitioner.

2. As regards the petitioner's prayer for the reduction of the Reserve amount, the Deputy Commissioner reports that the gutta realizations from the inam lands amount to Rs.52/- per annum and the levy of Rs.20/- per year towards the Reserve Fund is rather heavy and opines that a 20% contribution may be fixed in this case also as per rules. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner and recommend that the reserve Fund amount may be fixed at Rs.10/- P.A.

3. The facts of the case have been fully set forth in this office files R.Dis.204/29-30 dated 5th April 1930 and R. Dis. 4/24-25 dated

17th

17th July 1924, which are enclosed for reference. A copy of --- Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 16th May 1932 is -- also enclosed for kind reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Muzrai Commissioner.
Absent from HO quarters
m

Letter No.C3-4686/30-31 dated 22nd August 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

---

With reference to your memo No.1862/30-31 dated 13th June 1931 calling for the submission of the records connected with the representation of one Venkatappa, Archak of the Sri Gopalakrishnaswami temple at Thanisandra, Bangalore Taluk, together with a report, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire file of vernacular records connected with the case and to state that the full facts of the case are contained in the preamble of the Muzrai Commissioner's orders Nos.R.Dis.204/29-30 and R.Dis.4/24-25 wherein final orders have already been passed on similar representations of the above petitioner.

The petition received with your memo under reply is submitted herewith as desired therein.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C3-4386/30-31 dated 16th May 1932 from the Deputy Commis
sioner, Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

---

With reference to your letter No.1862/30-31 dated 10th September 1931, calling for a further report on the petition of one Venkatappa, Archak of Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Thanisandra village, Bangalore Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Sub Division Officer, Bangalore Sub Division has --- reported that the Inam lands endowed to the temple are all in the sole enjoyment of the petitioner Venkatappa and that no portion of them is in the enjoyment of Hanumanthappa as alleged by Venkatappa in his petition dated 8th May 1931 and that he has also admitted this fact in his statement before the --- Amildar on 25th January 1932.

As regards the payment of Reserve fund, the Archak pleads that the -- amount now fixed viz., Rs.20/- per annum is too heavy considering the actual realisations from the leasing out of these lands which amount to Rs.52/- per year, and prays for a reduction of the amount.

The gutta realisations from the inam lands are reported to be Rs.52/- per annum and the levy of Rs.20/- per year towards the Reserve fund contribution

appears to be rather heavy. I think that a 20% contribution may -- perhaps be fixed in this case also as per rules.

The entire file of records connected with the case is submitted herewith for your reference.

"C o p y"

Before the Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore.

---

Muzrai Appeal petition No.17 of 1930-31.

---

Petitioner.

Venkatappa
Archak-Sri Gopalakrishnaswami
Temple-Thanisandra, Krishnarajapur Hobli,
Bangalore.

Subject :- Re : (1) his claim for the sale proceeds of the casurina plantation raised on one of the Inam lands ~~of~~ endowed to Sri Gopalakrishnaswami temple at Thanisandra village and (2) exemption from the payment of reserve in respect of which the authorities concerned have been adopting coercive measures against him.

---

Order. ——————→

There is no need to hear the petitioner. From the facts of the case it is clear that the petitioner agreed to receive only a sum of Rs.100/- out of the temple share of the sale proceeds of casurina trees and to the balance being utilised for the purposes of the temple. This arrangement cannot be allowed to be disturbed. The money belongs to the temple which must have the benefit of it.

Sri Gopalakrishnaswami temple at Thanisandra is a village institution and the annual income from its lands inams is estimated at about Rs.50 or Rs.60/-. It is not necessary to lease out these lands and pay the archak in cash. It is seen from the records that the lands were restored to him some years back. The petitioner may continue to have the enjoyment of the lands and be required to render in return the Archak services to the temple. The annual income from the lands being small, he need not be required to pay any reserve, if he keeps the temple building in a ~~sum~~ satisfactory condition. If the temple requires repairs, arrangements may be made to carry them out from the amount to its credit and if the archak can be --

~~trusted~~

be ~~[illegible]~~ trusted to execute the work satisfactorily, it may be entrusted to him.

---

B M Mukherjee
For secretary to Govt
H DEBL

Nos 235-237 of 2-8-32 to the Muzrai Commissioner
Muz 306-30 Rs 4-6
the Comptroller and the appeal petitioner respectively forwarding copy of the orders passed in the appeal.

MK.5.

(G)

# Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

---

Muz Appeal Petn No. 17 of 1930-31

---

~~Appellant~~. Vs. ~~Respondent~~

Petitioner

~~Arahan~~ Venkatappa.

Archan - Sri Gopalakrishna Swami Hobli,
Temple - Thanisandra Krishnarajapuram Bangalore Tk.

Subject.

Re (1) his claim for ~~Prayer for the refund of the balance thereof~~ the sale proceeds of the casuarina plantation raised on one of the Inam land endowed to ~~the Thanisandra~~ Sri Gopalakrishna Swami Temple at Thanisandra village ~~and also complaining that he is being harassed~~ + (2) ~~for the~~ exemption from payment of reserve in respect of which the authorities concerned have been adopting coercive measures against him.

There is no need to order hear the petitioner - ~~The facts of the case~~

From the facts of the case it is clear that ~~As seen from the records~~ the petitioner agreed to receive sum of Rs 1001 - out of the temple share of the sale proceeds of casuarina trees and to the bal being utilised for the purposes of the temple. This arrangement cannot be allowed to be disturbed. The money belongs to the temp which must have the benefit of it.

Sri Gopalakrishna Swami temple at

Thani Sundra is a village institution —
annual income from its land Inams is estimated
at about Rs 50 or Rs 60/-. It is not necessary
to lease out these lands and pay the archak
in cash. It is seen from the records that the
lands were restored to him some years back.
The petitioner may continue to have the enjoyment
of the lands and be required to render in
return the Archak services to the temple. The
annual income from the lands being
small, he need not be reqd to pay any
reserve, if he keeps the temple building in
a satisfactory condition. If the temple requires
repairs, arrangements may be made to carry
them out from the amount to its credit
and if the archak can be trusted to
execute the work satisfactorily, it may
be entrusted to him

306-30-..

Copy to Archak Venkatappa

B. O

28/7

[illegible] 306-30-4. dy 2-8-32 — For Sec to Govt

F I Dept

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to M.C
for necessary action. The to gether with the records received with
his letter No 1862/30-31 dy 1/6/32 are hereby
returned.

B. O

28/7

Recd no 236/Muzy 306-30-3 dy 2-8-32 For Sec to Govt

C.S 28/7

to Comp^r for information B. O

F I Dept

a to Govt